

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/633,274	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PENTAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Anne R. Kubelik	<b>Art Unit</b> 1638	

**All Participants:**

(1) Anne R. Kubelik.

(2) Krista Rupert.

**Status of Application:** Quayle action

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3/3/04

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant approved the proposed examiner's amendments*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)